May 1, 2014



QUALITY STANDARDS for EXPANDED LEARNING

PHASE TWO



OVERVIEW

OF PROJECT

Safe and supportive environment

The program provides a safe and nurturing environment that supports the developmental, social-emotional and physical needs of all students.

Active and engaged learning

Program design and activities reflect active, meaningful and engaging learning methods that promote collaboration and expand student horizons.

Skill building

The program maintains high expectations for all students, intentionally links program goals and curricula with 21st-century skills and provides activities to help students achieve mastery.

Youth voice and leadership

The program provides and supports intentional opportunities for students to play a meaningful role in program design and implementation, and provides ongoing access to authentic leadership roles.

Healthy choices and behaviors

The program promotes student well-being through opportunities to learn about and practice balanced nutrition, physical activity and other healthy choices in an environment that supports a healthy life style.

Quality staff

The program recruits and retains high quality staff and volunteers who are focused on creating a positive learning environment, and provides ongoing professional development based on assessed staff needs.

Diversity, access and equity

The program creates an environment in which students experience values that embrace diversity and equity regardless of race, color, religion, sex, age, income level, national origin, physical ability, sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression.

Clear vision, mission and purpose

The program has a clearly defined vision, mission, goals, and measurable outcomes that reflect broad stakeholder input and drive program design, implementation and improvement.

Collaborative partnerships

The program intentionally builds and supports collaborative relationships among internal and external stakeholders, including families, schools and community, to achieve program goals.

Continuous quality improvement

The program uses data from multiple sources to assess its strengths and weaknesses in order to continuously improve program design, outcomes and impact.

Program management

The program has sound fiscal and administrative practices supported by well-defined and documented policies and procedures that meet grant requirements.

Sustainability

The program builds enduring partnerships with the community and secures commitments for in-kind and monetary contributions.

Recommended Uses of the Quality Standards

A framework of clear expectations for all stakeholders.

A guide to inform the After School Division's decision-making, for example, technical assistance decisions, language in requests for applications and policy development.

A guide for program providers to assess their own programs to help determine what they are doing well and what needs improvement. A guide for parents and youth to identify quality programming.

A guide for school principals and district superintendents to reinforce and advance key priorities.

A complement to other standards in the State of California focused on quality improvement e.g., Learning in After School and Summer, Quality Self Assessment Tool, Quality Self-Assessment Rubric (ASSETs), Center for Youth Program Quality, etc.

Also what these Standards in Action are **NOT** intended to be...

- + a Checklist
- + an assessment tool
- + a compliance tool



DELIVERABLE FOR PHASE 2



Standards in Action DESCRIPTORS



Quality Assessment Tool Crosswalk

DELIVERABLE FOR PHASE 2

- 1) Recommended "descriptors" that describe what the quality standards look, sound, and feel like in a high quality program (program, staff & youth level), and
- 2) A recommended crosswalk of existing quality self assessment tools aligned to the twelve standards to guide program and site leaders decision-making about quality improvement.



Q & A



CAROUSEL

1. What do you like about the Standards in Action as they are listed?

- 1. What do you like about the Standards in Action as they are listed?
- 2. What, if anything, is missing from this section of the Standards in Action?

- 1. What do you like about the Standards in Action as they are listed?
- 2. What, if anything, is missing from this section of the Standards in Action?
- 3. What, if anything, would you suggest eliminating or changing?

- 1. What do you like about the Standards in Action as they are listed?
- 2. What, if anything, is missing from this section of the Standards in Action?
- 3. What, if anything, would you suggest eliminating or changing?
- 4. What additional thoughts or comments do you have regarding this section of the Standards in Action?



GROUP

CONVERSATION

+ What do you like?

+ What do you like?

+ What questions or concerns do you have?

+ What do you like?

+ What questions or concerns do you have?

+ How will this be useful to the field?



TIMELINE



OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC INPUT